IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

S CASE NUMBER 1:10-CR-136-MAC

S CHRISTOPHER LEE ALSUP (1)

S CASE NUMBER 1:10-CR-136-MAC

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PETITION FOR WARRANT FOR OFFENDER UNDER SUPERVISION

Pending is a "Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision" filed November 20, 2017, alleging that the defendant, Christopher Lee Alsup, violated his conditions of supervised release. This matter is referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge for review, hearing, and submission of a report with recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law. *See United States v. Rodriguez*, 23 F.3d 919, 920 n. 1 (5th Cir. 1994); *see also* 18 U.S.C. § 3401(1) and Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

I. The Original Conviction and Sentence

Defendant was sentenced on October 26, 2011, by United States District Judge Marcia A. Crone, after pleading guilty to the offense of Possession of Material Involving the Sexual Exploitation of Minors, a Class C felony. This offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 10 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 30 and a criminal history category of I, was 97 to 120 months. Judge Crone sentenced Defendant to 108 months of imprisonment followed by a 5-year term of supervised release subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions to include testing and treatment for drug and alcohol abuse, mental health treatment, no contact with minors, restrictions from pornography, search condition, computer monitoring conditions, computer and electronic restrictions, and sex offender registration.

II. The Period of Supervision

On September 7, 2017, Defendant completed his period of imprisonment and began service of the term of supervised release. Previously, on August 7, 2017, Defendant's supervised release conditions were modified to include 180 days in a residential reentry center.

III. The Petition

United States Probation Officer Ashley McKinney filed the Petition for Warrant for Offender under Supervision raising one allegation. The petition alleges that Defendant violated the following condition of release:

<u>Allegation 1.</u> Under the guidance and direction of the U.S. Probation Office, the defendant shall participate in a sex offender treatment program which may include the application of physiological testing instructions. The defendant shall pay any cost associated with treatment and testing. It is alleged that on or about November 9, 2017, Mr. Alsup was discharged unsuccessfully from sex offender treatment for failing to comply with the rules of the treatment program.

IV. Proceedings

On January 4, 2018, the undersigned convened a hearing pursuant to FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.1 to hear evidence and arguments on whether the Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release, and the appropriate course of action for any such violations.

At the revocation hearing, counsel for the Government and the Defendant announced an agreement had been reached as to a recommended disposition regarding the petition. The Defendant agreed to plead "true" to Allegation 1 in the petition. In addition, the parties agreed that the Defendant should be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 3 months to be followed by 5 years of supervised release.

V. Applicable Law

According to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), a court may revoke a term of supervised release and require a defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in such term of supervised release without credit for time

previously served on post-release supervision, if the court, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure applicable to revocation of probation or supervised release, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant violated a condition of supervised release, except that a defendant whose term is revoked under this paragraph may not be required to serve on any such revocation more than 5 years in prison if the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release is a Class A felony, more than 3 years if such offense is a Class B felony, more than 2 years if such offense is a Class C or D felony, or more than 1 year in any other case. The original offense of conviction here was a Class C felony; therefore, the maximum sentence is 2 years of imprisonment.

According to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a), ¹ if the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervision as alleged in Allegation 1 of the petition, the Defendant will be guilty of committing a Grade C violation. With Defendant's original criminal history category of I, the applicable guideline range for a Grade C violation is 3 to 9 months of imprisonment.

According to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(c)(1), where the minimum term of imprisonment determined under U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4 is at least 1 month but not more than 6 months, the minimum term may be satisfied by (A) a sentence of imprisonment; or (B) a sentence of imprisonment that includes a term of supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention according to the schedule in U.S.S.G. § 5C1.1(e), for any portion of the minimum term. According to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(c)(2), where the minimum term of imprisonment determined under U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4 is more than 6 months but not more than 10 months, the minimum term may be satisfied by (A) a sentence of imprisonment; or (B) a sentence of imprisonment that

_

¹ All of the policy statements in Chapter 7 that govern sentences imposed upon revocation of supervised release are non-binding. *See* U.S.S.G. Ch. 7 Pt. A; *see also United States v. Price*, 519 Fed.Appx. 560, 562 (11th Cir. 2013).

includes a term of supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention according to the schedule in U.S.S.G. § 5C1.1(e), provided that at least one-half of the minimum term is satisfied by imprisonment.

U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(c)(3) indicates in the case of a revocation based, at least in part, on a violation of a condition specifically pertaining to community confinement, intermittent confinement, or home detention, use of the same or a less restrictive sanction is not recommended. According to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(d), any restitution, fine, community confinement, home detention or intermittent confinement previously imposed in connection with a sentence for which revocation is ordered that remains unpaid or unserved at the time of revocation shall be ordered to be paid or served in addition to the sanction determined under U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4 and any such unserved period of community confinement, home detention, or intermittent confinement may be converted to an equivalent period of imprisonment.

When a term of supervised release is revoked and the defendant is required to serve a term of imprisonment, the court may include a requirement that the defendant be placed on a term of supervised release after imprisonment. The length of such a term of supervised release shall not exceed the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the original term of supervised release, less any term of imprisonment that was imposed upon revocation of supervised release. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(h); U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(g)(2).

In determining the Defendant's sentence, the court shall consider:

- 1. The nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant. (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1))
- 2. The need for the sentence imposed: to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner. (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B)–(D))

- 3. Applicable guidelines and policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission, for the appropriate application of the provisions when modifying or revoking supervised release pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(a)(3), that are in effect on the date the defendant is sentenced. (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(4) and 28 U.S.C. § 994(a)(3))
- 4. Any pertinent policy statement issued by the Sentencing Commission, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(a)(2), that is in effect on the date the defendant is sentenced. (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(5))
- 5. The need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct. (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(6))
- 6. The need to provide restitution to any victims of the offense.

18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(e) and 3553(a).

VI. Analysis

The Defendant pled "true" to Allegation 1 in the petition that alleges that he violated a special condition of release by failing to successfully complete sex offender treatment. Based upon the Defendant's plea of "true" to this allegation in the Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision and U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a), the undersigned finds that the Defendant violated a condition of his supervised release.

The undersigned has carefully considered each of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). The Defendant's violation is a Grade C violation, and his criminal history category is I. The policy statement range in the Guidelines Manual, read together with the applicable statutory maximum sentence, is 3 to 9 months of imprisonment. The Defendant did not comply with the conditions of supervision and has demonstrated an unwillingness to adhere to conditions of supervision. Consequently, incarceration appropriately addresses the Defendant's violations. The sentencing objectives of punishment, deterrence and rehabilitation, together with the aforementioned statutory sentencing factors, will best be served by a prison sentence of 3 months to be followed by 5 years of supervised release.

VII. Recommendation

It is recommended that the Court find that the Defendant violated a special condition of

release by failing to successfully complete sex offender treatment. The petition should be granted

and the Defendant's supervised release should be revoked pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583.

The Defendant should be sentenced to a term of 3 months of imprisonment to be followed

by 5 years of supervised release. Any criminal monetary penalties previously ordered in the final

judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards

outstanding balances.

Before the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned announced the foregoing

recommendation and notified Defendant of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation

and to be present and allocute before being sentenced by the Court. Defendant waived those rights

on the record and executed a written waiver in open court. The Government also waived its right

to object to the Report and Recommendation. It is, therefore, recommended that the Court revoke

Defendant's supervised release and enter a Judgment and Commitment for him to be imprisoned

for a period of 3 months to be followed by 5 years of supervised release.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 4th day of January, 2018.

K. MICOLE MITCHELL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6